Summary of PCHB Appeal Issues and How Addressed in Amended 401

| PCHB Conditions from 8/12/02 | Ecology | Port | WA Supreme | How Addressed in Amended |
|---|---------|--------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| | Appeal | Appeal | Court | 401 |
| 1. Enhanced treatment BMPs | | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to J.2.d |
| 2. Sample SW above and below outfalls | | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to J.2.g |
| 3. WET testing for injury as well as mortality | | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to J.2.h |
| 4. 100% retrofit of SW facility required | | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to J.1.c |
| 5. Use of WER study limited | X | X | Overturned | No change necessary |
| 6. 1 CFS mitigation for Des Moines Creek | | X | Overturned | No change necessary |
| 7. New fill criteria established by PCHB | X | X | Overturned, | No change to majority of |
| | | | but required | language, recalculated Selenium |
| | | | Ecology to | and silver, TPH set at 0, see |
| | | | recalculate | E.1.b)—table with new values |
| | | | values for | for selenium and silver, and |
| | | | selenium and | footnotes. Also amended Excel |
| | | | silver, TPH set | table that goes with Attachment |
| | | | at zero | E. |
| 8. Prohibition on use of SPLP | X | X | Overturned, | Added language to E.1b) and to |
| | | | but required | Attachment E to require |
| | | | that SPLP | comparison of SPLP test results |
| | | | results be | with both surface and |
| | | | compared to | groundwater quality criteria. |
| | | | both surface | |
| | | | and | |
| | | | groundwater | |
| | | | criteria. | |
| 9. Increased number of fill samples | | | Affirmed | Changed E.1.a)iv) to require |
| | | | | number of samples required by |
| | | | | MTCA (deleted previous table) |
| 10. Match pre-project hydro periods of wetlands | | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to |
| | | | | D.1.g) |
| 11. 2:1 on-site mitigation ratio | | X | Affirmed but | No change necessary to 401. |

| | | struck language limiting offsite mitigation | Have adequate mitigation with Auburn site per Supreme Court. |
|--|---|--|--|
| 12. If decrease in delineation, more in-basin mit. | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to D.1.h) |
| 13. Seepage monitoring: Ecology <i>shall</i> take action to eliminate exceedences of water quality standards | X | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to E.3 |
| 14. Monitoring for Fill and Preferred pathways shall continue for at least 8 years | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to B.1.c) and d) |
| 15. Monitoring for as long as there are contaminates in the AOMA | | Affirmed | Added PCHB language to B.1.c) and d) |
| 16. Water right required for low flow vaults | X | Overturned | No change necessary |